

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/706,027	Applicant(s) JIANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

(3) _____.

 (2) Wai Wong.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

69-73, 75 and 89-92

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Wong to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claims 69 to 72 and 89 to 92 were canceled and claims 73 and 75 were amended to recite that at least one of R4, R5 and R6 is COOH. It was agreed that the abovementioned changes would be made by examiner's amendment..